AUG 1 9 2004

1617 Bh

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no pers	ons are required to res	U.S. Patent and Tradema	ark (PTO/SB/21 (02-04) for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE tunless it displays a valid OMB control number.	
yonder are respectively reconstruction reso, the person	5,10 d10 10 qui 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Application Number		10/079443	
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Filing Date		February 19, 2002	
		First Named Inventor		Ying Ma	
		Art Unit		1617	
		Examiner Name		Edward J. Webman	
Total Number of Pages in This Submiss	ion 1	Attorney Docket Number	er	46847-00007USPT	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached X Amendment/Reply	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition			After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC	
After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer			(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Request for CD, Number	,	F	Postcard Ackknowledgment	
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Remarks				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm or Individual name JENKENS & GILCHRIST, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION Lekha Gopalakrishnan					
Signature Little Landbland					
Date August / 2004					

	being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in nt, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date
Dated: August <u>∫</u> , 2004	Signature: Marcy Querstreet (Marcy Overstreet)



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. on the date shown below.

Dated: August 16, 2004 Signature: Marcy Overstreet)

Docket No.: 46847-00007USPT

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Ying Ma et al.

Application No.: 10/079443

Confirmation No.: 9165

Filed: February 19, 2002

Art Unit: 1617

For: MESOPOROUS COMPOSITIONS FOR USE IN

DRUG DELIVERY

Examiner: Edward J. Webman

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed July 16, 2004, Applicants hereby provisionally elect claims 1-15 for continued examination, with traverse.

The Examiner has required restriction between claims 1-15 in Group I, drawn to a method of making, classified in class 264, subclass 41, and claims 16-38 in Group II, drawn to a composition, classified in class 424, subclass 484.

The Commissioner may require restriction if two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in a single application (37 CFR 1.142(a)). In the present case, although the claimed subject matter may be classified in different classes, the inventions are not independent. The claims in Group I *i.e.*, claims 1-15 are directed to a process of preparing a mesoporous composition. The claims in Group II *i.e.*, claims 16-38 are directed to a mesoporous composition. The scope of the method claims in Group I is equivalent to the scope of the composition claims in Group II. As such, there is no additional burden on the part of the Patent Office to keep the claims of Group I together with the claims of Group II.

Application No.: 10/079443 Docket No.: 46847-00007USPT

According to MPEP § 803, if the search and examination of patent claims can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though the application includes claims to independent or distinct inventions (emphasis added). For this reason, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the restriction requirement between Groups I and II, as there is no additional burden to search and examine the two groups of claims.

If the Examiner is aware of another method to make the composition as claimed, using a process which is materially different from that set forth in the restricted claims, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to substantiate his position in greater detail. Otherwise, it is respectfully requested that the restriction requirement be withdrawn, and that each of claims 1-38 presently pending in this application be examined.

Examiner has held claims 7 and 12 to be generic and has requested Applicants to elect a single disclosed species for the purposes of prosecution. Applicants elect "water" as a first solvent for prosecution of generic claim 4 on the merits and "water" as a second solvent for prosecution of generic claim 12 on the merits. This election is being made only in the event that such generic claims are eventually held to be non-allowable.

No fees are believed to be due in connection with this paper as this response is being timely filed. However, if any fees are due in connection with this filing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 10-0447 (Ref. No. 46847-00007USPT) for the required amount

Respectfully submitted,

Lekha Gopalakrishnan

Registration No.: 46,733

JENKENS & GILCHRIST, A PROFESSIONAL

CORPORATION

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 3200

Dallas, Texas 75202

(214) 855-4500

Attorneys For Applicant